



**ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
MINUTES
NOVEMBER 4, 2025**

11:30 AM - 12:50 PM

MH-141

Present: Bono, Childers, Gradilla, Graewingholt, Jarvis, Kanel, Valdez (for Milligan)

Absent: Kleinjans, Swarat, Wood

I. CALL TO ORDER

- Chair Jarvis called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

II. URGENT BUSINESS

Q: Following up on last week's discussion. Do we have an update on when the language was removed from UPS 210.000?

A: We don't know, Mark Carrier did some research into it, and it was an older rewrite from 2018 or 2019.

- When I pulled in this morning, I saw the parking lot behind Carl's Jr. was no longer available.
 - When returning from lunch yesterday, I ran into Chief Frisbee and mentioned they should have a CSO out, because I've seen people walking down the blue corridor into traffic and doing drop-offs there. Yesterday afternoon I saw CSOs working the Folino Lot. They need to extend the fence all the way to the garage, otherwise they are going to walk in the street and there is no way for them to get off the street because there is a fence there. So, we need to set up a temporary fence to block people.
- A faculty member told me they've been getting threats from students. The police have told her unless they attack you, we can't do anything about it, even though they make threats online. The police won't do anything about it. That's not considered a behavior of concern.
- The Executive Committee went into Executive Session.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- No announcements.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- M/S/P (Graewingholt/Gradilla) Motion to approve the October 21st minutes. Motion passed.

4.1 EC Minutes 10-21-25 (draft)

4.2 EC Minutes 10-28-25 (draft) - *forthcoming*

V. CHAIR'S REPORT

- No report.

VI. STAFF REPORT

- We received 9 applications for the Outstanding Lecturer Award.
- We received 11 nominations for the L. Don Shields Excellence in Scholarship & Creativity Award. Applications are due by December 12th.

VII. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

7.1 Academic Technology & Library Committee [Graewingholt], M-11-3-25, 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM, PLS-299

- Update from VP Manriquez: Chris provided details on the IT roadmap and discussed the importance of faculty input. Challenges around communication after tech/software changes occur. The faculty involved in a decision around a technology or software may not be on campus when the latest iteration launches, then faculty ask, "why weren't we consulted?" They were consulted but potentially a decade ago. Communication back to the colleges and department faculty is still needed -- it's not because we aren't sending them emails. Grammarly becoming "Superhuman" is not a new software product, it's a platform update / merger of a product we already use.
- UPS 103.004 - Computing Resources Use Policy. Subcommittee formed to take a closer look: Loretta, Willie, David, Erica.
- Update from Willie Peng. Extended the time to change your password until TODAY since the deadline fell on a weekend.
- Update from Mike DeMars. Shared student survey results. Over 1200 responses so far. The library responds to user feedback as part of our roadmap for future changes. If you need the link, send them to the library homepage. Snapshot of results: 42.5% of students surveyed prefer eBooks. The biggest change year over year is 68.4% of students requested the library add independent study spaces rather than group ones. Only 5% of students surveyed report being able to consistently find a seat in the library. They consider the library overwhelmingly SAFE, welcoming, inclusive, and even CLEAN, but they cannot find a seat. They were asked about the partners they use/visit and the largest group (54%) reported that they only use the library as a study space, rather than as a destination for our partner services. Results were evenly spread across majors/levels of student. 73% of students surveyed reported not getting library information in campus orientation. Takeaways: need more space for seating, need monitoring on quiet floors, need to partner better with ORO to provide tours during orientation. Virtual tour of the library now available.
- Time approximate Guests: Rebecca Sheehan and Erica Thomas attended in lieu of Jon Brusckke (teaching conflict). Comments from the "AI Resistance Group": Over 200 faculty have been meeting regularly to discuss the challenges AI presents to academic integrity and shared governance. The group is also reviewing campus UPS impacted by AI technologies. They are interested in a new UPS document(s) with regards to Artificial Intelligence at CSUF. The moonshot dream "is to organize an advisory board of faculty made up of different colleges that would work with IT on any new purchases for the campus." It was noted that the group is known as the "AI Resistance" group, but there are faculty who use and teach with AI among members. The concerns are focused on the lack of transparency from IT and the way TitanGPT was rolled out to students without faculty input. They argued there is a lack of policy on AI as a whole. A AT&L Committee member asked how this group was started/formed? They were unaware it existed and didn't know who sanctioned the group. The guests responded it was primarily a grassroots effort in response to the Provosts email about TitanGPT and how its use was framed to students. It was noted that there was a follow up email sent to students reiterating that they must consult their faculty and course syllabus when it comes to using AI tools for a particular assignment. There was a general response from committee faculty that the proverbial AI train has left the station and that CSUF must keep up. I emphasized the consensus of Exec that AI concerns span across many Academic Senate Committees, not just AT&L. I asked the guests if they have visited any other Senate Committees? This was meant to be the first visit, with others in the AI group reaching out to committee chairs. Chris Manriquez responded that he has been speaking with Jon and Matt in discussing the role of faculty feedback in the IT strategic roadmap. He agreed to have an open dialogue regarding how software products are implemented. The discussion is bigger than AI, but how we innovate on campus while supporting the needs of faculty and students interested in new technologies. Guests were reminded that the FDC has great resources available on how to adapt curriculum to AI concerns and there are faculty communities available to support them. It was noted that, like other software products and technology provided by campus, AI use is not forced on teaching faculty. It is entirely the decision of faculty member on how/if to incorporate AI use in the classroom. [Discussion ran out of time].

7.2 Planning, Resource & Budget Committee [Jarvis], F-10-31-25, 1:00 - 2:30 PM, PLS-299

- No written report submitted.

7.3 General Education Committee [Childers], F-10-31-25, 2:00 - 4:00 PM, Zoom

- Meeting convened with a quorum.
- Minutes of 9/19 and 10/3 approved.
- HUSR 201 memo finalized
- Considering revising committee procedures to improve challenge hearing process
- Course reviews
 - COMM 328 - Approved for 4U, not for Overlay Z
 - PUBH 381 - Requesting more information for both Area 4U and Overlay Z
 - CHIN 385 - Approved for 3U, not for Overlay Z
 - ISDS 361B - Approved for 2U

7.4 Faculty Research Policy Committee [Kanel], T, 11-4-25, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, MH-141

- Met in person with quorum
- Discussion on Intellectual property new UPS continues.
- A section on equity and fair play was added.
- Examples of copyright issues added.
- Will add definition of Generative AI.
- Will add issues related to philanthropic gifts and sponsored programs, keep it a bit vague.
- Clarify Administrative faculty with retreat rights protection for self-initiated scholarship.
- Will continue with this next month.

7.5 Student Life Committee [Valdez], T, 11-4-25, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, Zoom

- The committee broke out into small groups to review three UPS and then returned whole group for updates.
- UPS 330.231 - Policy Regarding the Use of Drugs by Students- Had not been updated since 2015, group updated language to scientific term of cannabis. They had a question about ADA violations if doctor prescribed, compared it to ADHD meds.
- UPS 370.200 - Exclusion of Person(s) from Campus Meetings
- UPS 300.000 – Student Rights and Responsibilities- Discussed possible addition of language regarding AI on instructor syllabi. The board decided to review this again at the next meeting whole group.

VIII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

8.1 Revisions to UPS 100.015 Review and Revision of University Policy Statements

- Exec had an extensive discussion and a few suggestions were made

Suggestions:

Q: (Kanel) Can we have a standardized time limit for DE&I to review documents?

A: (Jarvis) Yes, maybe two weeks.

Q: (Childers) Are we inserting this delay in every policy? That's problematic.

A: (Jarvis) That is my big concern; we are starting a delay into every single policy.

Q: (Bono) Is there a way it could be a two-tiered approach, where the chair has to tell us within a certain number of days they want to take it to the DE&I Committee? So, the chair, within three business days, lets the Senate chair know whether or not the DE&I would make a full review.

Q: (Kanel) Then once DE&I look at it further, they have another 10 days?

A: (Jarvis) If DE&I is going to look at it, then we would refer it to DE&I. They'll have whatever the timeline is, we will send them the document.

So, if they want to look at a document, they need to let us know quickly.

- (Childers) This becomes a problem during the typical late spring push.

Q: (Kanel) What would be your suggestion?

A: (Childers) I don't think they should be reviewing live documents. I think there should be a process, going through all the UPS documents in some order that they choose, looking for issues.

- (Jarvis) We should ask the DE&I Committee, with the volume coming through, particularly in April, when Exec agenda has like 10 UPS documents on it, how they would get through them.

IX. NEW BUSINESS

9.1 UPS 211.000 - Responsibilities of Academic Units and their Chairs and Directors

9.2 UPS 300.021 - Academic Dishonesty - (Discussion Item)

9.3 Chancellor's Office Internship policy change - (Discussion Item)

X. ADJOURNMENT

➤ Meeting adjourned at 12:55 pm.